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Lecture: Previous studies showed the advantages of spleen preservation during laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy (LDP) such as preventing overwhelming postsplenectomy infection, and early infectious complications, maintaining immune surveillance, less postoperative pancreatic fistula, less postpancreatectomy diabetes, and less gastric ileus.

However, there were limitations of these results such as heterogenous population, and small number of patients. This study was aimed to compare clinical outcomes of patients with laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy with splenectomy (LDPS) and laparoscopic spleen preserving distal pancreatectomy (LSDP) using propensity score matching analysis from data of multi centers in Korea and Kapan. The patients were identified who underwent LDPS and LSDP in Korea and Japan. Perioperative outcomes including postoperative infectious complication were compared between LDPS and LSDP before and after PSM. Matching variables were used such as nation, age, sex, BMI, ASA scores, underlying disease, previous abdominal surgery, preoperative pancreatitis, malignant disease and tumor locations.

LDPS and LSDP were classified in 1728 (39.6%), and 2635 (60.4%), respectively. In the mean age and body mass index were 56.3 and 23.4. And 1166 (26.7%) patients underwent previous abdominal surgery and tumor was located in body and tail in 2041 (46.8%) and 2290 (52.5%), respectively. Pancreas was transected at pancreas neck and tail level in 1794 (41.1%) and 2521 (57.8%), respectively. Splenic vessels were preserved in 1244 (28.5%) of 2635 patients with LSDP. Two hundred patients (4.6%) experienced conversion to open during surgery. Of patients, 1303 (29.9%) patients experienced postoperative complications. Clinically related postoperative pancreatic fistula (CR-POPF) and infectious complication rates were 693 (15.3%) and 760 (17.4%) patients, respectively.

After PSM, 1594 patients were selected in each group. The patients with LSDP showed comparable overall complication (35.7 % vs 38.4 %, p = 0.094), however LSDP group showed lower infectious complications (15.2 % vs 19.1 %, p = 0.036), CR-POPF (13.7 % vs 18.7 %, p< 0.001), infected POF (5.7 % vs 9.7 %, p< 0.001), complicated fluid collection (0.94% vs 2.82%, p < 0.001) compared with the patients with LDP

The results of this study showed that LSDP was related with lower infectious complication rate including lower infected POPF, and lower complicated fluid collection compared with LDPS, and this result is helpful to decide splenic preservation in patients who needs LDP for pancreatic body or tail lesions.