

## COMPARISON OF CLINICAL OUTCOMES OF TYPE 1 INTRADUCTAL PAPILLARY NEOPLASM OF BILE DUCT VERSUS TYPE 2 INTRADUCTAL PAPILLARY NEOPLASM OF BILE DUCT AFTER SURGICAL RESECTION

Yunghun YOU<sup>1</sup>, In Woong HAN<sup>2</sup>, Sang Hyun SHIN<sup>2</sup>, So-Jeong YOON<sup>2</sup>, Kee-Taek JANG<sup>3</sup>, Jin Seok HEO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Surgery, Naen Hospital, Republic of Korea, <sup>2</sup> Surgery, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University, Republic of Korea, <sup>3</sup> Pathology And Translational Genomics, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University, Republic of Korea

**Background** : The purpose of this study is to find out whether there are differences in clinicopathological characteristics and oncological outcomes between subgroups (invasive type 1 IPNB and invasive type 2 IPNB). There is little investigation of oncologic outcomes according to type of invasive IPNB, which has the potential to cause recurrence.

**Methods** : From 2006 to 2018, consecutive 85 patients who were underwent surgical resection for IPNB. Type 1 IPNB was defined as IPNB with a well-organized papillary growth pattern and composed of a homogenous, regular lining epithelium and thin fibrovascular stalk. Type 2 IPNB was defined as IPNB with an irregular branching growth pattern and consisting of complex, irregular lining epithelium and thin to thick fibrovascular stalk.

**Results** : Among the total 85 cases of IPNB, there were 36 cases of invasive type 1 IPNB and 34 cases of invasive type 2 IPNB. Marginally significant difference in 5-year DFS was observed between the invasive type 1 IPNB and invasive type 2 IPNB (86.1 % vs 66.0 %,  $p = 0.085$ ). In a multivariate analysis, the risk factor affecting recurrence were CA 19-9  $\geq 14$  U/ml ( $p = 0.006$ ) and invasion beyond ductal wall ( $p = 0.001$ ).

**Conclusions** : Conclusively, there was marginally significant difference in 5-year DFS between invasive type 1 IPNB and invasive type 2 IPNB. Given these, it seems necessary to apply different follow-up duration and strategies depending on the type of IPNB.

Corresponding Author : Jin Seok HEO ((jsheo.md@gmail.com)